

n from a specific perspective; it
 icians), meso (payers,
 ls.

ough literature review, review of
 so criteria used to prioritize
 ally.^{17,18}

logical criteria of completeness,
 and grouped into 4 clusters.

ence*	Score	Evaluator comments	Value
ence	S ₁₃		V ₁₃

3	Impact of intervention in therapy	Expected role of intervention, impact of intervention in therapy
4	Epidemiology	Epidemiology of disease treated by intervention, trends, sub-population etc, as applicable
5	Intervention characteristics	Indication, technical characteristics (e.g. pharmacokinetics, interactions, contraindications, administration & concomitant therapies)
6	Clinical data	Efficacy and safety data from clinical trials, analyses, reviews) and from documented practice
7	Patient reported outcomes	Patient reported outcomes for intervention, satisfaction, convenience etc
8	Effectiveness data [†]	Effectiveness data (naturalistic/real life data, registry requirements, etc)
9	Comparator data	Data on efficacy, safety, patient reported outcomes, characteristics for comparators, where available
10	Price information/justification	Price of intervention and comparators
11	Economic evaluation	Economic evaluation; impact of intervention on associated costs; impact on society and health system
12	Budget impact	Budget impact analyses if intervention is included in plan/drug plan)
Total		

*Requirements established by the decisionmaking body
[†]Definition of effectiveness trial based on the AHRQ criteria

- 4-step assessment:
 - Literature review for all types of evidence
 - Analysis of available evidence
 - Analysis of requirements of decisionmakers for evidence submitted; and
 - Completing instruments, so